Ran Doğramaçı

CS492 Senior Design Project II Grade Form

| Altoni University | | | Semester/Year: | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Title of the project: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Group Grades: | | | _ | _ | |
| | | Supervisor | Jury Member 1 | Jury Member 2 | Average |
| <u>Low-Level Design:</u> | | _ | I | | |
| G1) Low-level architecture: Extent and that were used to carry out the project, i | , , , | 5 | | | |
| appropriate engineering standards (out o | | | | | |
| G2) Creativity, extent to which the team to the design problem while still achieving 5) | developed a novel solution | | | | |
| Implementation: | | | | | |
| G3) Use of new tools and technologies (| out of 5) | | | | |
| G4) Extend to which the system has bee with respect to analysis and design mode | | | | | |
| Reports: | | | | | |
| G5) Explanation of the impact of engined project, in a global, economic, environment of 5) | | | | | |
| G6) Discussion on contemporary issues project (out of 5) | related with the area of the | | | | |
| G7) Use of library resources and Interne | _ | | | | |
| information including similar design, com engineering principles; also to demonst learning (out of 5) | rate skills related to lifelong | | | | |
| G8) Evaluation of the reports, including | | | | | |
| organization, appropriate writing style, g and references (out of 5) | rammar, spelling, citations | | | | |
| Presentation and Demo: | | | | | |
| G9) Overall evaluation of the presentation | · | | | | |
| effectiveness of the visual aids, prepared appropriateness of speaking style, audie adherence to time limits (out of 5) | | | | | |
| G10) Quality of responses to questions/expression of ideas, fluency, language ar (out of 5) | | | | | |
| | | G | roup Average | (out of 75) | |
| To dividual Cod dee (bookhee | | | . 5 | ` ' [| |
| Individual Grades (by the s | supervisor): | | | | |
| Stud | ent Name: | | | | |
| Student ID: | | | | | |
| G11) Participation in the project (out of 20) If the grade is below 5, the letter grade is F. | | | | | |
| G12) Average of peer grades (out of 5) | | | | | |
| Group average (out of 75) (Copy from above for all members) | | | | | |
| Total grade (out | t of 100) | | | | |
| Lett | er grade | | | | |
| Signatures | Name | | | Signaturo | |
| | Name | | | Signature | |
| Supervisor | | | | | |
| Jury member 1 | | | | | |
| Jury member 2 | | | Ī | | |

Rubric

| Criteria | Level5 | Level4 | Level3 | Level2 | Level1 |
|------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| G1 | Design principles recognized and applied properly | Minor flaws were discovered in the design principles applied | Major revision in the application of design principles were needed | Design principles used were invalid | No design principles were used |
| G2 | High level of creativity was displayed | Acceptable creativity was displayed | Some creativity was displayed | Little creativity was displayed | No creativity was displayed |
| G3 | State-of-the-art tools and technologies were used | | | | Old-fashion tools and technologies were used |
| G4 | The system has been completely implemented with respect to analysis and design model | The system has been implemented with respect to analysis and design model, but needs minor revisions. | and design model, but | The system has been implemented with respect to analysis and design model, but needs major revisions. | The system has not been implemented |
| G 5 | Impact of engineering solutions developed in a project were well identified | Minor corrections were made | One major revision was needed | Several iterations were needed | Impact of engineering solutions developed in a project were not discussed |
| G6 | Contemporary issues were well identified | Minor corrections were made | One major revision was needed | Several iterations were needed | Contemporary issues were not discussed |
| G7 | Relevant information were collected | Minor guidance was needed | Moderate supervisor effort was needed | Major supervisor effort was needed | No search was done |
| G8 | High quality in the organization, style and language was presented | Acceptable quality in the organization, style and language was presented | Minor revision in the organization, style and language was needed | Major revision in the organization, style and language was needed | Organization, style and language were poor |
| G9 | | | | | |
| G10 | | | | | |
| G11 | Proactively participated in the team | Fulfilled all duties and contributed the project | | Poor participation was observed | No participation was shown |