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Today’s Talk

• What is Agility?
• Perceptions about architecture
• What is architecture?
• Why do we combine agile and architecture?
• Lessons from two case studies
• Some practical points on integration
• Take-Away – one thought
  – Agility and architecture:
    A match made in Heaven…broken on Earth?
**Agility**

- Agility is the ability to both create and respond to change in order to profit in a turbulent business environment.
  
  Jim Highsmith (2002)

- Characteristics of Agile development
  - Iterative and incremental
  - Small releases
  - Release plan/feature backlog
  - Iteration plan/task backlog
  - Collocation

Sanjiv Augustine (2004)
Agile Manifesto

We are uncovering better ways of developing software by doing it and helping others do it. Through this work we have come to value:

- Individuals and interactions *over process and tools*,
- Working software *over comprehensive documents*,
- Customer collaboration *over contract negotiation*,
- Responding to change *over following a plan*.

That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left *more*.

Source: http://www.agilemanifesto.org/
Perceptions about Architecture

- Architecture is Big Up Front Design (BUFD)
- Architecture means massive documentations
- Architecture doesn’t add value to customers
  - *You Ain’t Gonna Need It* (YANGI)
- Architect – Prescriptive guy

**Agility**  
**Architecture**
More Perceptions

- **We need three more programmers.**
- **Use agile programming methods.**
- **Agile programming doesn't just mean doing more work with fewer people.**
- **Find me some words that do mean that and ask again.**

- **We're going to try something called agile programming.**
- **That means no more planning and no more documentation. Just start writing code and complaining.**
- **I'm glad it has a name. That was your training.**

- **I put together a time line for your project.**
- **I started by reasoning that anything I don't understand is easy to do.**
- **Phase One: Design a client-server architecture for our world-wide operations. Time: Six minutes.**
What is Software Architecture?

- Architecture is the fundamental organization of a system embodied in its components, their relationships to each other and to the environment and the principles guiding its design and evolution. (IEEE1471 – 2000).

- A software system’s architecture is the set of principal design decisions made about the system (Taylor, R., et al., 2010).

- It’s all about design decisions – bad, good and better ones.

- Context – good decisions may become the bad ones.

Software architecture should provide intellectual control and specifications for meaningful reasoning by stakeholders.
Architecture: Key Design Decisions

Source: Cooney et al., 2007
Quotes from Agile Practitioners!!!

• “It seems that many agile method users misunderstand what agile methods are, just ignore architecture, and jump onto refactoring.” Satoshi Basaki

• “The YAGNI belief has led many agile team ultimately to a point of failure by ignoring the architecture’s essential elements.” Blair, Watt, Cull.

• “Architecture is just as IMPORTANT in XP projects as it is in any software project. Part of the architecture is captured by the system metaphore.” Kent Beck

• “Tension between agility and architecture might be FALSE dichotomy.” Craig Larman
Augmenting XP: Why and How?

• Quality requirements

“A system isn’t certifiably secure unless it has been built with a set of security principles in mind and has been audited by a security expert. While compatible with XP these practices have to be incorporated into the team’s daily work.” (Kent Beck, 2004)

• Scaling XP

“With awareness and appropriate adaptations, XP does scale. Some problems can be simplified to be easily handled by a small XP team. For others, XP must be augmented. The basic value and principles apply at all scales. The practices can be modified to suit your situation.”

• Context based adaptation is INEVITABLE
How to combine Agility & Architecture?
A Story….

- A market leader in financial products & services
- Multiple development sites with various development paradigms
- Agile adoption started in 2005
- Needed to combining plan driven and agile in distributed arrangements
- Main motivation was increased competition from other sites for internal offshoring
Architecture Design

- Agile project apply two stages of design solutions:
  - Draw HIGH LEVEL roadmap called Software Architecture Overall Plan (SAOP)
  - Developers look for flaws – design validation

- NO attention to quality attributes – rather use
  - Re-factoring – for example improving performance
  - Maintenance projects – can be up to 2 years!!!

- Upfront design – Something that would change later

- Main drivers - functionality, delivery time, budget
**Architecture Documentation**

- **Before Agile**
  - Comprehensive documentation of architecture and design
  - Minimum four weeks on specifications for a medium size project

- **After Agile**
  - Drastic reduction in architectural documentation – ONLY SAOP

- **Argument against documentation** - Formal documentation did not add much value to customers

- **30% - 40% reduction in documentation resources**

- **NO argumentation around and documentation of design that may NOT be implemented later on**
Sharing Design Decisions

• Before Agile
  – Detailed architectural documentations and ARB meetings

• After Agile
  – Wiki and design meetings for sharing design decisions

• Design decisions on Whiteboards until implemented

• Wiki is delivered with software release

• Wiki based sharing of design initially works but then searching design decisions becomes cumbersome

• Tracking architectural decisions becomes hard
Agile Approaches – Positives

• Bringing developers EARLY in the design decisions

• Don’t spend HUGE AMOUNT of time discussing and documenting solutions that may not be implemented

• Clear and agreed upon deliverables for KNOWN delivery date and budget - small iterations

• Saving up to 30-40% resources on design documents

• EASILY and QUICKLY sharing design decisions and knowledge through Wikis and design meetings
Agile Approaches – Negatives

- Implementing User Stories WITHOUT a good knowledge of subsequent inter-dependencies
- Architecturally very RISKY for new projects when potential solutions are NOT very well understood
- NO time for careful design or considering alternatives
- NO encouragement to focus on quality attributes
- Design knowledge remains with INDIVIDUALS
- Searching design decisions on Wiki can be DIFFICULT
Challenges & Strategies!!!
Challenges and Strategies 1/2

• Incorrect prioritization of user stories (C)

• Involve architects and developers in feature analysis workshop (S)

• Lack of time and motivation for considering design choices (C)

• Combine zero feature release with Feature Analysis Workshop (S)
  – Zero feature release - Do architecturally focused work without delivering any user-visible features
Challenges and Strategies  2/2

- Unknown domain and untried solutions (C)
- Apply hybrid approach (S)
- Pilot project for sorting out backlogs (S)
- Lack of focus on quality attributes (C)
- Make quality attributes a success factor (S)
- Link development and maintenance budgets (S)
- Lack of Skilled people (C)
Another Story…

- Security software leader
- Market of 90+ countries
- Agile transformation begin in 2005
- Commonly held agile beliefs couldn’t work!!!
- Introduced platform based development for SPEED
- Agile & Product lines
Agile Approaches in Product Lines

Exploration before agile product development

- Agile research project
  - 2 weeks' Sprints

- Feature analysis
- Requirements from the product manager

Product line platform

- The system structure
  - Mobile Windows Client
  - Symbian Client
  - Back End System

Architectural overview model
- High-level skeleton
- Layered architecture
- Model-View-Controller architecture

Integration document
- Interfaces between subsystems

Product Backlog
- Feature descriptions

Feature analysis

Prototype

Technique roadmaps

Product roadmaps

Code conventions

- Common components
- Platform-specific components

Sprint pre-planning

Sprint planning

Sprint Backlog

Plans for Sprint Backlog

Sprint working

Sprint releasing

Internal release

Release to the customer

Release to the customer
Key Practices
1/2

• Implementing features without up-front design exploration Doesn’t work

• Research projects can discover potential problems

• Rotate staff between research and product projects

• Research projects are carried out using Agile practices BUT no delivered functionality
  – Shorter lengths of Sprints – 2 weeks

• Organize teams based on the use of platforms
Key Practices

• Establishing mutual trust between the lead architect and a project architect is essential

• Use of “Daily Meetings” for architectural discussions

• Use high level architectural description for subcontractors, new team members, big architectural modifications, and developing new products

• Each of the platforms has its own confluence to share architectural documents and knowledge
Communicating Architecture

• Communicating architectural knowledge is an integral part of integrating product line and Agile practices.

• All designers regularly read the overall architecture and comments on debatable issues.

• Every new designer is expected to read the whole lot from the beginning to the end and all updates.

• Sharing architectural knowledge by locating all platforms’ teams very close to each other.
A few more practical points
Architect: Role & Responsibilities

An architect should know how to sell a key design decision to product owners in conflicting situations.

Institutionalized the role of architect with more focus on facilitation & serving.

Project architect should know the overall architecture, required features, and implementation status.

An architect needs to have good understanding of Agile approaches.

Have multiple architects – solution architect, software architect and implementation architect for certain kinds of projects.

Architect should document/update and communicate the architecture.
Users Stories....

EXTREME PROGRAMMING

I CAN'T GIVE YOU ALL OF THESE FEATURES IN THE FIRST VERSION.

AND EACH FEATURE NEEDS TO HAVE WHAT WE CALL A "USER STORY."

OKAY, HERE'S A STORY: YOU GIVE ME ALL OF MY FEATURES OR I'LL RUIN YOUR LIFE.
**User Stories + Quality Scenarios**

**M1 (H, H):** Add the ability to interact with a new University record system to validate the authenticity of a degree within 2-person day.

**M2 (H, M):** Add the ability for a financial institution to access QVS to report the details of received payments within 2 weeks 2 people work

**M3 (M, H):** Add the ability to connect to DIMA and check working visa conditions within 4 weeks 2 people work

**M4 (M, L):** Add support for a new browser within two weeks

**P1 (H, M):** Users need to be able to register within 5 seconds during heavy load (e.g. 500 requests per second)

**P2 (H, M):** User should be able to submit verification request within 10 seconds during peak hours (e.g. 500 requests per second)

**P3 (H, H):** The system demand exceed initial planned capacity

**S1 (H, L):** The system must provide a secure mechanism to allow users retrieve back the password

**S2 (H, M):** Customers sensitive information (e.g., Credit Card details) should not be accessible even the web interface security is compromised

**S3 (M, M):** Ability to report audit trial of modifications and users’ activities (e.g.: attempted access)

**S4 (M, H):** Ability to make online payment using commercial-grade encryption mechanisms

**U1 (M, L):** Allow users to save work in progress information (e.g. candidate information) so that work could be completed at different stages without needing to complete the whole process at once.

**U2 (H, M):** Allow users to cancel work in progress (e.g. cancel verification request after data entry and before submitting the request)

**U3 (L, M):** Requesting verification for multiple candidates with minimum data entry (e.g.: select multiple candidates and request same verification services)

**U4 (M, L):** Ability to personalize the look and feel of the QVS web site

**U5 (H, L):** Ability to use the system without any assistance i.e.: the system need to be easy to learn and use
Exploit Scenarios & Patterns

- Scenarios are useful for evaluating multiple quality attributes of software architecture
- Key scenarios can drive the evaluation
  - describe the behavior of architecture
  - set the context for particular quality attributes
- Knowledge of patterns is always handy for quickly evaluating design alternatives
- lightweight and agile process
  - Only two roles involved
  - Repository of architectural knowledge
Agile Evaluation of Architecture

Step 1. Determine quality attributes
Step 2. Generate key scenarios
Step 3. Determine architecture
Alternatives – patterns and tactics
Step 6. Discuss evaluation results

Step 4. Prototype
Step 5. Evaluate quality attributes

Business goals

Architecting

Stakeholders
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Get Stakeholders on Board Early

I'll design the system as soon as you give me the user requirements.

Better yet, you could build the system, then I'll tell your boss that it doesn't meet my needs.

I don't mean to frighten you, but you'll have to do some actual work.

That's crazy talk.
# Design and Use Simple Templates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Name</strong></th>
<th>Run simulations with debug enabled.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
<td>Run simulations with debug enabled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality Factor</strong></td>
<td>Meet real-time requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complexity Level</strong></td>
<td>Low (Default)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Importance</strong></td>
<td>Low (Default)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Context</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stimulus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source of Stimulus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date Proposed</strong></td>
<td>Tue 19 Dec 2006 16:42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status</strong></td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>User</strong></td>
<td>Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Scenario</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analysis Model</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Classification</strong></td>
<td>Unclassified (Default)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>References</strong></td>
<td>Name: AnalyzingEnterpriseJavaBeans.pdf Created By: Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Documents</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tactics</strong></td>
<td>1) Tag View Management Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Findings</strong></td>
<td>No Finding Associated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Agile Values and Architecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XP values</th>
<th>Architectural Approaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Facilitate stakeholders’ involvement at all stages of development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplicity</td>
<td>Coarse-grained design with only enough architecting to ensure quality attributes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td>Architectural evaluation provides early feedback on risky and non-risky decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courage</td>
<td>Foreseen changes can be planned and incorporated in the design, risk avoidance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Few Take-Aways!!!

- Understand the Context
- Clearly and Precisely define architecture
- Show architecture’s *business value* to *product owner*
- Communicate and coordinate through architecture
- Use Critical functionality to *assess* architecture
- Understand *when* to *freeze* the architecture
- Track *unresolved* architecture issue (backlog)
Agility and Architecture: Can They Coexist?
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