CS681: Advanced Topics in Computational Biology

Week 1, Lectures 2-3

Can Alkan EA509 calkan@cs.bilkent.edu.tr

http://www.cs.bilkent.edu.tr/~calkan/teaching/cs681/

GENOMIC VARIATION: CHANGES IN DNA SEQUENCE

Human genome variation











- Genomic variation
 - Changes in DNA sequence
- Epigenetic variation
 - Methylation, histone modification, etc.





Human genetic variation

Types of genetic variants



How do we assay them?

Size range of genetic variation

- Single nucleotide (SNPs)
- Few to ~50bp (small indels, microsatellites)
- >50bp to several megabases (structural variants):
 - Deletions
 - Insertions

CNVs

- Novel sequence
- Mobile elements (*Alu*, L1, SVA, etc.)
- Segmental Duplications
 - Duplications of size \geq 1 kbp and sequence similarity \geq 90%
- Inversions
- Translocations
- Chromosomal changes

Genetic variation

If a mutation occurs in a codon:

- Synonymous mutations: Coded amino acid doesn't change
- Nonsynonymous mutations: Coded amino acid changes

$GTT \rightarrow Valine$	$\mathbf{GTT} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Valine}$
$GTA \rightarrow Valine$	GCA → Alanine
SYNONYMOUS	NONSYNONYMOUS

Genetic variation

Where in the genome?



Where in the body?

Germ cells or gametes (sperm egg) -> Transmittable -> Germline Variation

Other (somatic cells) -> Not transmittable -> Somatic Variation

SNPs & indels

SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism (substitutions) **Short indel**: Insertions and deletions of sequence of length 1 to 50 basepairs

reference:
sample:
$$C$$
 A C A C A G G

- Neutral: no effect
- Positive: increases fitness (resistance to disease)
- Negative: causes disease
- Nonsense mutation: creates early stop codon
- Missense mutation: changes encoded protein
- Frameshift: shifts basepairs that changes codon order

Short tandem repeats

reference: sample:

CAGCAGCAGCAG CAGCAGCAGCAGCAG

- Microsatellites (STR=short tandem repeats) 1-10 bp
 - Used in population genetics, paternity tests and forensics
- Minisatellites (VNTR=variable number of tandem repeats): 10-60 bp
- Other satellites
 - Alpha satellites: centromeric/pericentromeric, 171bp in humans
 - Beta satellites: centromeric (some), 68 bp in humans
 - Satellite I (25-68 bp), II (5bp), III (5 bp)
- Disease relevance:
 - Fragile X Syndrome
 - Huntington's disease



Chromosomal changes

- "Microscope-detectable"
- Disease causing or prevents birth
- Monosomy: 1 copy of a chromosome pair
- Uniparental disomy (UPD): Both copies of a pair comes from the same parent
- Trisomy: Extra copy of a chromosome
 - chr21 trisomy = Down syndrome

Genetic variation among humans

Single nucleotide variants	in four hun	nan genomes
	(n)	In dbSNP (%)
J. Craig Venter's genome	3,213,401	91.0
James D. Watson's genome	3,322,093	81.7
Asian genome	3,074,097	86.4
Yoruban genome	4,139,196	73.6
Structural variants in the	Venter geno	ome
	(n)	length (bp)
Block substitutions	53,823	2–206
Indels (heterozygous)	851,575	1-82,711
Inversions	90	7-670,345
Copy number variants	62	8,855-1,925,949

Genetic variation are "shared"



Kim et al. Nature, 2009

Haplotype

 "Haploid Genotype": a combination of alleles at multiple loci that are transmitted together on the same chromosome



Haplotype resolution

- Variation discovery methods do not directly tell which copy of a chromosome a variant is located
- For heterozygous variants, it gets messy:



Haplotype resolution or haplotype phasing: finding which groups of variants "go together"

Discovery vs. genotyping

- Discovery: no a priori information on the variant
- Genotyping: test whether or not a "suspected" variant occurs

Variation discovery & genotyping

- Targeted methods:
 - □ SNP:
 - PCR
 - SNP microarray (genotyping)
 - Indel
 - PCR
 - "Indel microarray" (genotyping)
 - Structural variation
 - Quantitative PCR
 - Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization (array CGH)
 - Fluorescent in situ Hybridization (FISH) if variant > 500 kb
 - Chromosomal:
 - Microscope

Variation discovery & genotyping

Targeted methods are:

- Cheap(er), but limited:
 - Variants that are not in reference genome cannot be found
 - One experiment yields one type of variant
 - Not always genome-wide

Alternative:

- Whole genome resequencing
 - More expensive getting cheaper
 - (Theoretically) comprehensive
 - Computational challenges

PROJECTS FOR GENOMIC VARIATION DISCOVERY

International HapMap Project

- Determine genotypes & haplotypes of 270 human individuals from 3 diverse populations:
 - Northern Americans (Utah / Mormons)
 - Africans (Yoruba from Nigeria)
 - Asians (Han Chinese and Japanese)
- 90 individuals from each population group, organized into parent-child trios.
- Each individual genotyped at ~5 million roughly evenly spaced markers (SNPs and small indels)



By genotyping just the three tag SNPs shown above, one can identify which of the four haplotypes shown here are present in each individual.

Human Genome Diversity Panel

- More extensive set of genomic variation
- One aim is to build DNA resource libraries for large scale discovery & genotyping projects
- 1.050 human individuals from 52 populations
 Initial HapMap and HGDP did not sequence the genomes of any samples.

ARTICLE

doi:10.1038/nature18964

The Simons Genome Diversity Project: 300 genomes from 142 diverse populations

Mallick et al., 2016

Why?

- To understand "normal" human genomic variation
- To understand genetic transmission properties
- To understand *de novo* mutations
- To understand population structure, migration patterns
- To understand human disease
 - Find causal variants
 - Diagnose
 - Guide treatment

Human disease

Rare variant common disease:

 Most "complex" diseases, including neuropsychiatric diseases

Common variant common disease

- More "common"; diseases that follow Mendelian inheritance
 - If a common disease is caused by a recessive mutation, it can be found at high frequency in a population
 - □ MAF (minor allele frequency) > 5%

Why sequence whole genomes?

- SNP/indel/arrayCGH platforms are mainly designed for individuals of West European descent
- For a disease common in somewhere else, like India:
 - Variants at high frequency in India may not be represented in the available platforms
 - Genome is a big entity; SNP/indel/arrayCGH can not cover the entire genome:
 - Largest has 2.1 million markers (compare to 3 billion)

High Throughput Sequencing

- 2007: "Sanger"-based capillary sequencing; one human genome (WGS): ~ \$10 million (Levy et al., 2007)
- 2008: First "next-generation" sequencer 454 Life Sciences; genome of James Watson: ~\$2 million (Wheeler et al., 2008)
- 2008: The Illumina platform; genome of an African (Bentley et al, 2008) and an Asian (Wang et al., 2008): ~\$200K each
- 2009: The SOLiD platform: ~\$200K
- Today with the Illumina platform: ~\$1K/ genome

Sequencing-based projects

- The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium (www.1000genomes.org)
 - Large consortium: groups from USA, UK, China, Germany, Canada
 - 2.504 humans from 29 populations
- Independent
 - South African (Schuster et al., 2010), Korean, Japanese, UK (UK100K project), Ireland, Netherlands (GoNL project), France, US All of Us, ...
- Ancient DNA: Neandertal (Green et al., 2010); Denisova (Reich et al., 2010)

DNA sequencing

How we obtain the sequence of nucleotides of a species





...ACGTGACTGAGGACCGTG CGACTGAGACTGACTGGGT CTAGCTAGACTACGTTTTA TATATATATACGTCGTCGT ACTGATGACTAGATTACAG ACTGATTTAGATACCTGAC TGATTTTAAAAAAATATT...

GENERAL CONCEPTS AND CAPILLARY (SANGER) SEQUENCING

DNA Sequencing

DNA Sequencing: History

Sanger method (1977): labeled ddNTPs terminate DNA copying at random points.

Gilbert method (1977):

chemical method to cleave DNA at specific points (G, G+A, T+C, C).



Both methods generate labeled fragments of varying lengths that are further electrophoresed.





Capillary (Sanger) sequencing

Capillary sequencing (Sanger): Can only sequence ~1000 letters at a time

3100 Sequencing Data, HSP69 standard	
a Monor ball and a shirt of a shirt and a shirt a state of the shirt o	WIN
hilling all and the second states and the second states and the second states of the second s	hallithen
hall of the day and a share of the stand of	
Laberter and the second state of the second state of the second	halle
Montenant and the and an altra to de the	mar
nAllandolkomatallant mananallanta	Ande



Traditional DNA Sequencing





Double-barreled / paired-end sequencing

genomic segment



cut many times at random (*Shotgun*)



Reconstructing The Sequence



Need to cover region with >7-fold redundancy (7X) if you use Sanger technology

Overlap reads and extend to reconstruct the original genomic region

Definition of Coverage



Length of genomic segment:	L	
Number of reads:	n	
Length of each read:	1	

Definition: Coverage **C** = n I / L

How much coverage is enough?

Lander-Waterman model:

Assuming uniform distribution of reads, C=10 results in 1 gapped region /1,000,000 nucleotides

Challenges with Fragment Assembly

- Sequencing errors
 ~0.1% of bases are wrong
- Repeats



Computation: ~ O(N²) where N = # reads

Sanger sequencing

Advantages

- Longest read lengths possible today (>1000 bp)
- Highest sequence accuracy (error < 0.1%)
- Clone libraries can be used in further processing

Disadvantages

- The most expensive technology
 - \$1500 per Mb
- Building and storing clone libraries is hard & time consuming

HIGH THROUGHPUT SEQUENCING

Human genome reference

- 1986: Announced (USA+UK)
- 1990: Started
- 1999: Chromosome 22 sequenced
- 2001: First draft
- 2004: Finished
- 4 human samples, 14 years, 3-10 billion dollars

Current version: hg38

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/grc

Chromosomes 1-22, X, Y, MT Alternative haplotypes HLA haplotypes











HTS Technologies

Short read:

454 Life Sciences: the first, acquired by Roche -- dead

- Pyrosequencing
- Illumina (Solexa): current market leader
 - GAIIx, HiSeq2000, MiSeq, HiSeq2500, NovaSeq
 - Sequencing by synthesis
- Applied Biosystems -- dead
 - SOLiD: "color-space reads"
- Long Read:
 - Pacific Biosciences Single Molecule Real Time
 - RSII, Sequel
 - Oxford Nanopore Technologies:
 - MinION, Flongle, PromethION, GridION

Fundamental informatics challenges

1. Interpreting machine readouts – base calling, base error estimation



2. Data visualization



3. Data storage & management Gzip compressed raw data for one human genome > 100 GB (Illumina)



SAAATCAACCAAATCCTCATCAACCAAATGC AAATCATAA ACGAATTTATCTCCAATTCGTGGATGTTTTCCAATATATTGATCACT GTGATCAACTCCTCGACGACGTCTTCCATATCAACTTTCGAGAAGA CATTAAGAGATGCTTTGTAACA CATAGTCAAAGTAGCCGAATAGATTCTGGAAAATATTTATAAAATT AGTTGGCCCAGGGGTGAccggcaatttcaagcaaatcggcaaattgt ttttctgaatttgccgaaaatttgacaaaaa cgacaatttgccggtt atttaccttttttaaatttaattttcaattcaggcaaactgacga ccgtttgccggatatcaatttgcaggaatttctcaaaggaatttt taagacggaaacacagtgcttttttgaattttttttcccgttttctt atagaatttactgacttttcagaatagatg gttgttttaaaaattgaaattctgaaatttccaacaaaaaacatgt acaagttggcaaaaatattttgCATTTGCCGTTTT GAAAAGTCTAATTTCGGTAATTGGGCCAtttttcgaaattt ataaaaaactttgaaccatttttgagaagtattattacgacati TTTTCTACGGCTCATAAACGTATAGCCCCCGTCAGTCTCAAAATTTATA SATAGACACTTTTTGGCGTTTATCGCCTATATTCCGTCAAAAACCATTA CATCATTCTTTCAATGTTGTTTTTTTTTTAAGGCTAAAAAACTTTCAT TGTCGTGGTTTATACGAAAATTTCAGAATTTATAA

Informatics challenges (cont'd)

4. SNP, indel, and structural variation discovery





5. De novo Assembly



What can we use them for?

	Sanger	Illumina	PacBio	ONT
<i>De novo</i> assembly	Fragmented	Heavily Fragmented	Fragmented, needs polishing	Less Fragmented, needs polishing
SNP Discovery	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Larger events	Yes	Mid-range	Yes	Yes
Transcript profiling	No	Yes	Somewhat	Somewhat

CURRENT PLATFORMS

Features of HTS data

- Short sequence reads
 - 150 300 bp Illumina
- Long, but error prone sequence reads
 - Average ~50 Kb PacBio 12% error
 - Up to 1 Mb ONT 20% error
- Huge amount of sequence per run
 - Up to terabases per run (3 Tbp for Illumina/NovaSeq 6000)
- Huge number of reads per run
 - Up to billions
- Higher error (compared with Sanger)
 - Illumina: mostly substitutions
 - PacBio / ONT: mostly indels

Whole Genome Sequencing



Sequencing technologies

Short-Read

Illumina

- 100-200bp
- Paired-end
- Billions of reads
- < 0.1%
 error



Long Read



PacBio and Oxford Nanopore

- > 10 Kb, up to 1 Mb
- Single-end
- Hundreds of millions of reads
- 12-20% error indel dominated

Long Range



10X + Illumina

- 100-200bp
- Paired-end
- Billions of reads
- < 0.1% error
- Barcoded: 30-50 Kb molecule range

Illumina

- Current market leader
- Based on sequencing by synthesis
- Current read length 150-300bp
- Paired-end easy, longer matepairs harder
- Error ~0.1%
 - Substitution errors dominate
- Throughput: Up to 3 Tbp in one run (2 days)
- Cheapest sequencing technology
 - Cost: ~ \$1,000 per human



Illumina – FASTQ output

Read and Quality (1)

@FC81ET1ABXX:3:1101:1215:2154/1

Read and Quality (2)

@FC81ET1ABXX:3:1101:1215:2154/2

- Read length and quality string length are the same
- All read/1s are the same length in the same run
- All read/2s are the same length in the same run

Illumina

Read mapping:

- mrsFAST, BWA-MEM, minimap2, Bowtie2, BFAST, many more
- De novo assembly:

□ SPAdes, Velvet, ABySS, SGA, ALLPATHS,

Pacific Biosciences

- "Third generation"; single molecule real time sequencing (SMRT)
- No replication with PCR
- Phosphates are labeled. Watches DNA polymerase in real-time while it copies single DNA molecules.
- Premise: long sequence reads in short time (median 1.4 kbp)
- Errors: ~12%; indel dominated
- ~\$ 3,000 / human





Pacific Biosciences

- For any DNA polymerase you can read a total of ~60 kb (median) sequence
- Two sequencing protocols:
 - CLR: single read
 - CCS: Make a circle, re-read the same molecule 5-6 times
 - Multiple sequence alignment to correct errors
 - Median length = 60000 / 6= 10 Kbp
 - > 99% accuracy

Nanopore sequencing

- Up to 2 Mbp reads
 - 15-20% error, indel dominated
- Real-time analysis supported
- RNN-based basecallers

Nanopore sequencing technology and tools for genome assembly: computational analysis of the current state, bottlenecks and future directions @

Damla Senol Cali 🕿, Jeremie S Kim, Saugata Ghose, Can Alkan, Onur Mutlu

Briefings in Bioinformatics, bby017, https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bby017 Published: 02 April 2018 Article history ▼



Nanopore sequencing



PacBio & ONT

Read mapping:

Minimap2, MashMap, NGM-LR, …

- De novo assembly:
 - Canu, Flye, FALCON

HTS: Computational Challenges

Data management

□ Files are very large; compression algorithms needed

Read mapping

- Finding the location on the reference genome
- All platforms have different data types and error models
- Repeats!!!!

Variation discovery

- Depends on mapping
- Again, all platforms has strengths and weaknesses

De novo assembly

 It's very difficult to assemble short sequences and/or long sequences with high errors