So, What is DEEP Machine Learning

A few different ideas:

• **(Hierarchical) Compositionality**
  – Cascade of non-linear transformations
  – Multiple layers of representations

• **End-to-End Learning**
  – Learning (goal-driven) representations
  – Learning feature extraction

• **Distributed Representations**
  – No single neuron “encodes” everything
  – Groups of neurons work together
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Given a library of simple functions

\[
\begin{align*}
\sin(x) \\
\log(x) \\
\cos(x) \\
x^3 \\
\exp(x)
\end{align*}
\]

Composed a complicated function
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Building A Complicated Function

Given a library of simple functions

\[
\begin{align*}
\sin(x) & \\
\log(x) & \\
\cos(x) & \\
x^3 & \\
\exp(x) & 
\end{align*}
\]

Compose a complicated function

**Idea 1: Linear Combinations**

\[
f(x) = \sum_{i} \alpha_i g_i(x)
\]
Building A Complicated Function

Given a library of simple functions

- \( \sin(x) \)
- \( \log(x) \)
- \( \cos(x) \)
- \( x^3 \)
- \( \exp(x) \)

Compose a complicated function

\[
f(x) = g_1(g_2(\ldots(g_n(x)\ldots)))
\]

Idea 2: Compositions
- Deep Learning
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Building A Complicated Function

Given a library of simple functions

\[
\sin(x), \quad \log(x), \quad \cos(x), \quad x^3, \quad \exp(x)
\]

Compose a complicated function

Idea 2: Compositions

- Deep Learning

\[
f(x) = \log(\cos(\exp(\sin^3(x))))
\]
Deep Learning = Hierarchical Compositionality

Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]
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So, What is DEEP Machine Learning

A few different ideas:
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  – Learning feature extraction
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“Shallow” vs Deep Learning

• “Shallow” models

  - hand-crafted Feature Extractor
    + fixed
  - “Simple” Trainable Classifier
    + learned

• Deep models (especially supervised deep learning)

  - Trainable Feature-Transform / Classifier
  - Trainable Feature-Transform / Classifier
  - Trainable Feature-Transform / Classifier

Learned Internal Representations
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• **(Hierarchical) Compositionality**
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Distributed Representations Toy Example

- Local vs Distributed
Distributed Representations Toy Example

• Can we interpret each dimension?
Power of distributed representations!

Local: \[ \bullet \bullet \bigcirc \bullet = VR + HR + HE = ? \]

Distributed: \[ \bullet \bullet \bigcirc \bullet = V + H + E \approx \bigcirc \]
\[ f(x, W) = Wx \]

- Loss function
- Optimization
- Convolutional Nets
- Recurrent Nets
Loss Functions
Loss functions

- There are many different loss functions

- Log Loss / Cross Entropy
- Hinge Loss
- Square Loss
Classification Losses

Hinge Loss/Multi class SVM Loss

\[
SVMLoss = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
\]

- \(s_j\) – Computed score of the training example for jth class.
- \(y(i)\) - Ground truth label for ith training example.
Classification Losses

Cross Entropy Loss/Negative Log Likelihood

\[ \text{CrossEntropyLoss} = - \log\left( \frac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}} \right) \]

- \( s_j \) – Computed score of the training example for jth class.
- \( y(i) \) - Ground truth label for ith training example.
Regression Losses

Mean Square Error/Quadratic Loss/L2 Loss

\[ MSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n} \]

- \( n \) - Number of training examples.
- \( i \) - \( i \)th training example in a data set.
- \( y(i) \) - Ground truth label for \( i \)th training example.
- \( \hat{y}(i) \) - Prediction for \( i \)th training example.
Regression Losses
Mean Absolute Error/L1 Loss

\[
MAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|}{n}
\]

- n - Number of training examples.
- i - ith training example in a data set.
- y(i) - Ground truth label for ith training example.
- y_hat(i) - Prediction for ith training example.
Regression Losses

Mean Bias Error

\[ MBE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)}{n} \]

- n - Number of training examples.
- i - ith training example in a data set.
- y(i) - Ground truth label for ith training example.
- y_hat(i) - Prediction for ith training example.
Weight Regularization

\[ L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{Loss}_i + \lambda R(W) \]

\( \lambda \) = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

Some reg. types:
- **L2 regularization**
- **L1 regularization**
- **Elastic net (L1 + L2)**

...
L2 regularization: motivation

\[ x = [1, 1, 1, 1] \]

\[ w_1 = [1, 0, 0, 0] \]

\[ w_2 = [0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25] \]

\[ w_1^T x = w_2^T x = 1 \]
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Which one does L2 regularization choose?
L2 regularization: motivation

\[ x = [1, 1, 1, 1] \]

\[ w_1 = [1, 0, 0, 0] \]

\[ w_2 = [0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25] \]

\[ w_1^T x = w_2^T x = 1 \]

Why does it make sense?
Multi-task Learning

Jointly minimize the losses of different tasks

Hard parameter sharing for multi-task learning in deep neural networks

Soft parameter sharing for multi-task learning in deep neural networks
Multi-task Learning

Jointly minimize the losses of different tasks (combine loss terms)

\[ L = l_a + \alpha l_b + \beta l_c + \cdots \]
Metric/Contrastive Learning

Learn *distinctiveness*

1. A distance-based loss function (as opposed to prediction error-based loss functions like Logistic loss or Hinge loss used in Classification).

2. Like any distance-based loss, it tries to ensure that semantically similar examples are embedded close together.

3. Defined based on pairs (+/- class pairs) or groups of samples.

\[
L_i = \sum_{i \neq j} \|w^T x_{i,c1} - w^T x_{j,c1}\| - \sum_k \|w^T x_{i,c1} - w^T x_{k,c2}\|
\]
Neural Networks

Linear score function:

\[ f = Wx \]

2-layer Neural Network:

\[ f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x) \]
Neural Networks

Linear score function:

$$f = W x$$

2-layer Neural Network:

$$f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$$
Neural Networks

Linear score function:

2-layer Neural Network
or 3-layer Neural Network

\[ f = W x \]

\[ f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x) \]

\[ f = W_3 \max(0, W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)) \]
Neural Networks: Architectures

“2-layer Neural Net”, or “1-hidden-layer Neural Net”

“3-layer Neural Net”, or “2-hidden-layer Neural Net”

“Fully-connected” layers
Setting the number of layers and their sizes

3 hidden neurons  
6 hidden neurons  
20 hidden neurons  

more neurons = more capacity
Do not use size of neural network as a regularizer. Use stronger regularization instead:

\[ \lambda = 0.001 \quad \lambda = 0.01 \quad \lambda = 0.1 \]
Activation Functions
Activation Functions

Sigmoid

\[ \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \]

\[ \tanh(x) \]

ReLU

\[ \text{max}(0, x) \]

Leaky ReLU

\[ \text{max}(0.1x, x) \]

Maxout

\[ \text{max}(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2) \]
Sigmoid

- Squashes numbers to range $[0,1]$
- Historically popular since they have nice interpretation as a saturating “firing rate” of a neuron

3 problems:

1. Saturated neurons “kill” the gradients
2. Sigmoid outputs are not zero-centered
3. $\exp()$ is a bit computationally expensive

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$
Activation Functions

\[ \tanh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}} \]

- Squashes numbers to range \([-1, 1]\)
- Zero centered (nice)
- Still kills gradients when saturated :(

[LeCun et al., 1991]
ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit)

- Computes $f(x) = \max(0, x)$
- Does not saturate (in +region)
- Very computationally efficient
- Converges much faster than sigmoid/tanh in practice (e.g. 6x)
- Not zero-centered output
- An annoyance:

  hint: what is the gradient when $x < 0$?

[Krizhevsky et al., 2012]
Leaky ReLU

\[ f(x) = \max(0.01x, x) \]
Activation Functions

- Does not saturate
- Computationally efficient
- Converges much faster than sigmoid/tanh in practice! (e.g. 6x)
- will not “die”.

Leaky ReLU

\[ f(x) = \max(0.01x, x) \]

Parametric Rectifier (PReLU)

\[ f(x) = \max(\alpha x, x) \]

[Mass et al., 2013]
[He et al., 2015]
Maxout “Neuron”

- Does not have the basic form of dot product -> nonlinearity
- Generalizes ReLU and Leaky ReLU
- Linear Regime! Does not saturate! Does not die!

\[
\max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2)
\]

Problem: doubles the number of parameters/neuron :(

[Goodfellow et al., 2013]
In practice

- Use ReLU. Be careful with your learning rates
- Try out Leaky ReLU / Maxout
- Try out tanh but don’t expect much
- Don’t use sigmoid
Parameter Updates
activations

\[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \]

"local gradient"

\[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \]

gradients
Training a neural network, main loop:

```python
while True:
    data_batch = dataset.sample_data_batch()
    loss = network.forward(data_batch)
    dx = network.backward()
    x += -learning_rate * dx
```
Optimize the parameters using one of the SGD variants

Image credits: Alec Radford
Suppose loss function is steep vertically but shallow horizontally:

Q: What is the trajectory along which we converge towards the minimum with SGD?
Q: What is the trajectory along which we converge towards the minimum with SGD?
Q: What is the trajectory along which we converge towards the minimum with SGD? very slow progress along flat direction, jitter along steep one
Momentum Update

```python
# Gradient descent update
x += - learning_rate * dx
```

```python
# Momentum update
v = mu * v - learning_rate * dx # integrate velocity
x += v # integrate position
```

- Physical interpretation as ball rolling down the loss function + friction (mu coefficient).
- mu = usually ~0.5, 0.9, or 0.99 (Sometimes annealed over time, e.g. from 0.5 -> 0.99)
Momentum Update

```python
# Gradient descent update
x += -learning_rate * dx
```

### Momentum update

```python
# Momentum update
v = mu * v - learning_rate * dx  # integrate velocity
x += v  # integrate position
```

- Allows a velocity to “build up” along shallow (yet consistent) directions
- Velocity becomes damped in steep (inconsistent) direction due to quickly changing sign
SGD vs Momentum

notice momentum overshooting the target, but overall getting to the minimum much faster.
Convolutional Neural Networks
Convolution Layer

32x32x3 image
5x5x3 filter

convolve (slide) over all spatial locations

activation map
For example, if we had 6 5x5 filters, we’ll get 6 separate activation maps:

We stack these up to get a “new image” of size 28x28x6!
**Preview:** ConvNet is a sequence of Convolution Layers, interspersed with activation functions.
Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]
Remember back to…

E.g. 32x32 input convolved repeatedly with 5x5 filters shrinks volumes spatially! (32 \to 28 \to 24 \ldots). Shrinking too fast is not good, doesn’t work well.
A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied **with stride 1**
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7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied with stride 1
A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied with stride 1
A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied with stride 1

→ 5x5 output
A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter
applied with stride 2
A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied with stride 2
A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7x7 input (spatially) assume 3x3 filter applied with stride 2 → 3x3 output!
Output size: 
\[(N - F) / \text{stride} + 1\]

e.g. N = 7, F = 3:

stride 1 => \((7 - 3)/1 + 1 = 5\)

stride 2 => \((7 - 3)/2 + 1 = 3\)

...
In practice: Common to zero pad the border

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e.g. input 7x7
3x3 filter, applied with **stride 1**
**pad with 1 pixel** border => what is the output?

(recall:)
(N - F) / stride + 1
In practice: Common to zero pad the border

e.g. input 7x7
3x3 filter, applied with **stride 1**
pad with **1 pixel** border => what is the output?

7x7 output!
In practice: Common to zero pad the border

In general, common to see CONV layers with stride 1, filters of size FxF, and zero-padding with \((F-1)/2\). (will preserve size spatially)

- **e.g.** \(F = 3\)  => zero pad with 1
  - \(F = 5\)  => zero pad with 2
  - \(F = 7\)  => zero pad with 3

---

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & & & & & \\
0 & & & & & \\
0 & & & & & \\
0 & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

- e.g. input 7x7
- **3x3** filter, applied with **stride 1**
- **pad with 1 pixel** border => what is the output?
1x1 convolution layers

- \(1 \times 1\) CONV with 32 filters

  - (each filter has size \(1 \times 1 \times 64\), and performs a 64-dimensional dot product)
Pooling layer

- makes the representations smaller and more manageable
- operates over each activation map independently:
MAX Pooling

Single depth slice

```
 1 1 2 4
 5 6 7 8
 3 2 1 0
 1 2 3 4
```

max pool with 2x2 filters and stride 2

```
 6 8
 3 4
```
Fully Connected Layer (FC layer)

- Contains neurons that connect to the entire input volume, as in ordinary Neural Networks
Residual Networks

CIFAR-10 plain nets

CIFAR-10 ResNets

56-layer
44-layer
32-layer
20-layer

20-layer
32-layer
44-layer
56-layer
110-layer

[He at al., 2015]
Residual Networks

[He at al., 2015]
Residual Networks

- Plain net
  - $x$:
    - weight layer
    - relu
  - $H(x)$:
    - weight layer
    - relu

- Residual net
  - $F(x)$:
    - weight layer
    - relu
  - $H(x) = F(x) + x$

[He et al., 2015]
Residual Networks

During back-prop, gradient is flows through layers without vanishing

[He at al., 2015]
Recurrent Neural Networks
Recurrent Networks offer a lot of flexibility:
Recurrent Networks offer a lot of flexibility:

e.g. **Image Captioning**
image -> sequence of words
Recurrent Networks offer a lot of flexibility:

e.g. **Sentiment Classification**
sequence of words -> sentiment
Recurrent Networks offer a lot of flexibility:

- One to one
- One to many
- Many to one
- Many to many

E.g. **Machine Translation**
seq of words -> seq of words
Recurrent Networks offer a lot of flexibility:

- One to one
- One to many
- Many to one
- Many to many

E.g. Video classification on frame level
Recurrent Neural Network
Recurrent Neural Network

\[ y \]

usually want to predict a vector at some time steps

\[ x \]
Recurrent Neural Network

We can process a sequence of vectors $\mathbf{x}$ by applying a recurrence formula at every time step:

$$h_t = f_W(h_{t-1}, x_t)$$

- $h_t$: new state
- $h_{t-1}$: old state
- $x_t$: input vector at some time step
- $f_W$: some function with parameters $W$
Recurrent Neural Network

We can process a sequence of vectors $\mathbf{x}$ by applying a recurrence formula at every time step:

$$h_t = f_W(h_{t-1}, x_t)$$

Notice: the same function and the same set of parameters are used at every time step.
The state consists of a single "hidden" vector $h$:

$$h_t = f_W(h_{t-1}, x_t)$$

$$h_t = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t)$$

$$y_t = W_{hy}h_t$$
Character-level language model example

Vocabulary: [h, e, l, o]

Example training sequence: "hello"

Objective: Predict the next character given the previous characters
One-hot (one-of-n) encoding

Example: letters. $|V| = 30$

\[
\begin{align*}
'a': \ x^T &= [1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0] \\
'b': \ x^T &= [0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0] \\
'c': \ x^T &= [0, 0, 1, \ldots, 0] \\
\vdots & \\
'.': \ x^T &= [0, 0, 0, \ldots, 1]
\end{align*}
\]
Character-level language model example

Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence: “hello”

Objective: Predict the next character given the previous characters
Character-level language model example

Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence: “hello”

Objective: Predict the next character given the previous characters

\[ h_t = \tanh(W_{hh} h_{t-1} + W_{xh} x_t) \]
Character-level language model example

Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence: “hello”

Objective: Predict the next character given the previous characters
Varying length input

Forward and backward passes are conducted on consequent subsequences iteratively.
Let me not to the marriage of true minds
Admit impediments. Love is not love
Which alters when it alteration finds,
Or bends with the remover to remove:
O no! it is an ever-fixed mark
That looks on tempests and is never shaken;
It is the star to every wandering bark,
Whose worth's unknown, although his height be taken.
Love's not Time's fool, though rosy lips and cheeks
Within his bending sickle's compass come:
Love alters not with his brief hours and weeks,
But bears it out even to the edge of doom.
If this be error and upon me proved,
I never writ, nor no man ever loved.
at first:

"Tmont thithey" fomesscerliund
Keushey. Thom here
sheulke, amerenith ol sivh I lalterthend Bleipile shuwy fil on aseterlome
coaniogennc Phe lism thond hon at. MeiDimorotion in ther thize."

"Why do what that day," replied Natasha, and wishing to himself the fact the
princess, Princess Mary was easier, fed in had oftened him.
Pierre aking his soul came to the packs and drove up his father-in-law women.
PANDARUS:
Alas, I think he shall be come approached and the day
When little strain would be attain'd into being never fed,
And who is but a chain and subjects of his death,
I should not sleep.

Second Senator:
They are away this miseries, produced upon my soul,
Breaking and strongly should be buried, when I perish
The earth and thoughts of many states.

DUKE VINCENTIO:
Well, your wit is in the care of side and that.

Second Lord:
They would be ruled after this chamber, and
my fair nus begun out of the fact, to be convoyed,
Whose noble souls I'll have the heart of the wars.

Clown:
Come, sir, I will make did behold your worship.

VIOLA:
I'll drink it.

VIOLA:
Why, Salisbury must find his flesh and thought
That which I am not aps, not a man and in fire,
To show the reining of the raven and the wars
To grace my hand reproach within, and not a fair are hand,
That Caesar and my goodly father's world;
When I was heaven of presence and our fleets,
We spare with hours, but cut thy council I am great,
Murdered and by thy master's ready there
My power to give thee but so much as hell;
Some service in the noble bondman here,
Would show him to her wine.

KING LEAR:
O, if you were a feeble sight, the courtesy of your law,
Your sight and several breath, will wear the gods
With his heads, and my hands are wonder'd at the deeds,
So drop upon your lordship's head, and your opinion
Shall be against your honour.
A generalization of RNN. At $l=1$:

- $h_{t-1}^l = x_t$
- $W^l = [W_{xh}, W_{hh}]$

It is equivalent to:

$$h_t = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t)$$
RNN:

\[ h^l_t = \tanh W^l \begin{pmatrix} h^{l-1}_t \\ h^{l-1}_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ h \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad W^l \in [n \times 2n] \]

LSTM:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{tanh} \end{pmatrix} W^l \begin{pmatrix} h^{l-1}_t \\ h^{l-1}_{t-1} \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
c^l_t = f \odot c^l_{t-1} + i \odot g
\]

\[
h^l_t = o \odot \tanh(c^l_t)
\]
LSTM - main idea

- Forget irrelevant parts of previous state
- Selectively update cell state values
- Output certain parts of cell state

Slide adapted from MIT 6.S191 (IAP 2017), by Harini Suresh
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) [Hochreiter et al., 1997]

- $c$: cell state
- $h$: hidden state (cell output)
- $i$: input gate, weight of acquiring new information
- $f$: forget gate, weight of remembering old information
- $g$: transformed input ($[-1,+1]$)
- $o$: output gate, decides values to be activated based on current memory

\[ \begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sigmoid} \\ \text{sigmoid} \\ \text{sigmoid} \\ \text{tanh} \end{pmatrix} W^l \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1}^l \\ h_{t-1}^l \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ c_t^l = f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g \]

\[ h_t^l = o \odot \tanh(c_t^l) \]
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)  
[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

A vector from before \( (h) \)
A vector from below \( (x) \)

\[ \begin{align*}
4n \times 2n & \quad 4n \quad 4*n \\
\text{sigmoid} & \quad i \quad \text{sigmoid} \\
\text{sigmoid} & \quad f \quad \text{sigmoid} \\
\text{tanh} & \quad o \quad \text{tanh} \\
\end{align*} \]

\( f \) decides the degree of preservation for cell state, by scaling it with a number in \([0,1]\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{tanh} \end{pmatrix} W^l \begin{pmatrix} h^l_{t-1} \\ h^l_t \end{pmatrix} \\
\]

\[
c^l_t = f \odot c^l_{t-1} + i \odot g \\
h^l_t = o \odot \text{tanh}(c^l_t) 
\]
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)  
[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

4n x 2n  \rightarrow  4n  \rightarrow  4*n

w

\text{vector from below (x)}

\text{vector from before (h)}

\begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sigmoid} \\ \text{sigmoid} \\ \text{sigmoid} \\ \tanh \end{pmatrix} \cdot W^l \begin{pmatrix} h_t^{l-1} \\ h_{t-1}^l \end{pmatrix}

\begin{align*}
    c_t^l &= f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g \\
    h_t^l &= o \odot \tanh(c_t^l)
\end{align*}

\text{g is a transformation of input / hidden state}
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)  
[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

Add \( g \) into the cell state, weighted by \( i \)  
(weight of acquiring new information)

Alternative interpretation:  
\( i \cdot g \) decouples the "influence of \( g \)" and "\( g \) itself".

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  i \\
  f \\
  o \\
  g
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  \text{sigm} & \text{sigm} & \text{sigm} & \text{tanh}
\end{pmatrix}
W^l
\begin{pmatrix}
  h_t^{l-1} \\
  c_t^{l-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
c_t^l = f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g
\]

\[
h_t^l = o \odot \text{tanh}(c_t^l)
\]
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)

[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

New hidden state is a scaled version of \( \text{tanh} \) (cell state).

\( o \): output gate, decides values to be activated based on current memory

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
i \\
f \\
o \\
g
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
sigmoid \\
sigmoid \\
sigmoid \\
tanh
\end{pmatrix}
W^l
\begin{pmatrix}
h_{t-1} \\
h_{t-1} \\
h_{t-1} \\
h_{t-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
c_t^l = f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g
\]

\[
h_t = o \odot \text{tanh}(c_t^l)
\]
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)  
[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

Q: Why tanh?  
A: Not very crucial, sometimes not used

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
i \\ f \\ o \\ g
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
sigm & sigm & sigm & tanh
\end{pmatrix} W^l 
\begin{pmatrix}
h_{t-1}^l \\ h_{t-1}^l
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
c_t^l = f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g
\]

\[
h_t^l = o \odot \tanh(c_t^l)
\]
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)
[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

\[
\begin{align*}
(i, f, o, g) &= (\text{sigm}, \text{sigm}, \text{sigm}, \text{tanh}) \cdot W^l \cdot (h^l_{t-1}, h^l_{t-1}) \\
\begin{bmatrix}
  c^l_t \\
  h^l_t
\end{bmatrix} &= f \odot c^l_{t-1} + i \odot g \\
  h^l_t &= o \odot \tanh(c^l_t)
\end{align*}
\]
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)  
[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & = \text{sigmoid} \left( W^i h_{t-1} \right) \\
(f) & = \text{sigmoid} \left( W^f h_{t-1} \right) \\
(o) & = \text{sigmoid} \left( W^o h_{t-1} \right) \\
g & = \text{tanh} \left( W^g h_{t-1} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
c_t = f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g
\]

\[
h_t^l = o \odot \text{tanh}(c_t^l)
\]
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)  
[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
i \\ f \\ o \\ g
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
sigm & sigm & sigm & tanh
\end{pmatrix}
W^l
\begin{pmatrix}
h_{t-1} \\ h_{t-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
c_t^l = f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g
\]

\[
h_t^l = o \odot \tanh(c_t^l)
\]
Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) [Hochreiter et al., 1997]

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_t &= f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g \\
    h_t^l &= o \odot \text{tanh}(c_t^l)
\end{align*}
\]
Understanding gradient flow dynamics

Backprop signal
Understanding gradient flow dynamics

Backprop signal video: http://imgur.com/gallery/vaNahKE

In RNN, the gradient vanishes much more quickly as we backprop from the last time step towards the first one

Therefore, RNN here cannot learn long time dependencies
Understanding gradient flow dynamics

RNN without any inputs

```python
H = 5  # dimensionality of hidden state
T = 50  # number of time steps
Whh = np.random.randn(H, H)

# forward pass of an RNN (ignoring inputs x)
hs = {}
s = {}
hs[-1] = np.random.randn(H)
for t in xrange(T):
    ss[t] = np.dot(Whh, hs[t-1])
    hs[t] = np.maximum(0, ss[t])

# backward pass of the RNN
dhs = {}
dss = {}
dhs[T-1] = np.random.randn(H)  # start off the chain with random gradient
for t in reversed(xrange(T)):
    dss[t] = (hs[t] > 0) * dhs[t]  # backprop through the nonlinearity
    dhs[t-1] = np.dot(Whh.T, dss[t])  # backprop into previous hidden state
```
Understanding gradient flow dynamics RNN without any inputs

```
H = 5       # dimensionality of hidden state
T = 50      # number of time steps
Whh = np.random.randn(H,H)

# forward pass of an RNN (ignoring inputs x)
hs = {}
ss = {}
hs[-1] = np.random.randn(H)
for t in xrange(T):
    ss[t] = np.dot(Whh, hs[t-1])
    hs[t] = np.maximum(0, ss[t])

# backward pass of the RNN
dhs = {}
dss = {}
dhs[T-1] = np.random.randn(H) # start off the chain with random gradient
for t in reversed(xrange(T)):
    dss[t] = (hs[t] > 0) * dhs[t] # backprop through the nonlinearity
    dhs[t-1] = np.dot(Whh.T, dss[t]) # backprop into previous hidden state
```

[On the difficulty of training Recurrent Neural Networks, Pascanu et al., 2013]
Understanding gradient flow dynamics
RNN without any inputs

if the largest eigenvalue is < 1, gradient will vanish
if the largest eigenvalue is > 1, gradient will explode

can control vanishing with LSTM
can control exploding with gradient clipping

[On the difficulty of training Recurrent Neural Networks, Pascanu et al., 2013]
Vanishing gradient problem

An example how vanishing gradient problem can affect RNNs:

“In France, I had a great time and I learnt some of the ____ language.”

our parameters are not trained to capture long-term dependencies, so the word we predict will mostly depend on the previous few words, not much earlier ones
RNN
More prone to the vanishing gradient problem

LSTM
(ignoring forget gates)
Recall: “PlainNets” vs. ResNets

ResNet is to PlainNet what LSTM is to RNN, kind of.
Vanishing gradient problem summary

To address this problem, use

- better activation function (e.g., ReLU)
- proper initialization ($W=\text{Identity}, \text{bias}=\text{zeros}$) to prevent $W$ from shrinking the gradients
- replace RNN cells with LSTM or other gated cells (LSTM variants) to control what information is passed through