CS473-Algorithms I

Lecture 5

Quicksort

CS473 – Lecture 5

Cevdet Aykanat - Bilkent University Computer Engineering Department 1

Quicksort

- Proposed by C.A.R. Hoare in 1962.
- Divide-and-conquer algorithm.
- Sorts "in place" (like insertion sort, but not like merge sort).
- Very practical (with tuning).

Quicksort

 Divide: Partition the array into 2 subarrays such that elements in the lower part ≤ elements in the higher part



- 2. Conquer: Recursively sort 2 subarrays
- 3. Combine: Trivial (because in-place)
- Key: Linear-time ($\Theta(n)$) partitioning algorithm

Two partitioning algorithms

1. Hoare's algorithm: Partitions around the first element of subarray (pivot = x = A[p])



2. Lomuto's algorithm: Partitions around the last element of subarray (pivot = x = A[r])





QUICKSORT (A, p, r) if p < r then $q \leftarrow$ H-PARTITION(A, p, r) QUICKSORT(A, p, q) QUICKSORT(A, q + 1, r)

Initial invocation: QUICKSORT(A, 1, *n*)



- Select a pivot element: pivot=A[p] from A[p...r]
- Grows two regions

A[p...i] from left to right

A[j...r] from right to left

such that

every element in A[p...i] is $\leq pivot$ every element in A[j...r] is $\geq pivot$

- The two regions A[p...i] and A[j...r] grow until $A[i] \ge pivot \ge A[j]$
- Assuming these inequalities are strict
 - A[i] is too large to belong to the left region
 - A[j] is too small to belong to the right region
 - exchange A[*i*]↔A[*j*] for future growing in the next iteration

- It is important that
 - A[p] is chosen as the pivot element
 - If A[r] is used as pivot then
 - may yield a trivial split (termination i = j = r)
 - occurs when A[p...r-1] < pivot = A[r]
 - then quicksort may <u>loop forever</u> since q = r

Hoare's Algorithm: Example 1 (pivot = 5)



Hoare's Algorithm: Example 1 (pivot = 5)



Termination: i = 6; j = 5, i.e., i = j + 1

Hoare's Algorithm: Example 2 (pivot = 5)



Hoare's Algorithm: Example 2 (pivot = 5)



Correctness of Hoare's Algorithm

- (a) Indices *i* & *j* never reference A outside the interval A[p...r]
- (b) Split is always non-trivial; i.e., $j \neq r$ at termination
- (c) Every element in $A[p...j] \le$ every element in A[j+1...r] at termination

Notation used for proof:

- k = # of times while-loop iterates until termination
- $i_l \& j_l =$ values of i & j indices at the end of iteration $1 \le l \le k$
- Note: we always have $i_1 = p \& p \le j_1 \le r$

Correctness of Hoare's Algorithm Lemma: Either $i_k = j_k$ or $i_k = j_k + 1$ at termination

k = 1: occurs when A[p+1...r] > pivot $\Rightarrow i_1 = j_1 = p$



Correctness of Hoare's Algorithm







Correctness of Hoare's Algorithm

(a) Indices *i* & *j* never reference A outside the interval A[*p*...*r*]
(b) Split is always non-trivial; i.e., *j* ≠ *r* at termination

Proof of (a) & (b)

- k = 1: trivial since $i_1 = j_1 = p$
- $k > 1: p \le j_k < r \implies p < i_k \le r$ due to the lemma

(c) Every element in $A[p...j] \le$ every element in A[j+1...r] at termination

Proof of (c) : by induction on *l* (while-loop iteration sequence) Basis: true for l = 1



- Hypothesis: $L_{l-1} = A[p \dots i_{l-1}] \le pivot \le A[j_{l-1} \dots r] = R_{l-1}$
- Show that

$$- L_l = \mathcal{A}[p \dots i_l] \le pivot \le \mathcal{A}[j_l \dots r] = R_l \text{ if } l \le k$$

$$- L_l = \mathcal{A}[p \dots j_l] \le pivot \le \mathcal{A}[j_l + 1 \dots r] = R_l \text{ if } l = k$$

• Case: l < k (partition does not terminate at iteration l)



Lomuto's Partitioning Algorithm





QUICKSORT (A, p, r) if p < r then $q \leftarrow L$ -PARTITION(A, p, r) QUICKSORT(A, p, q - 1) QUICKSORT(A, q + 1, r)

Initial invocation: QUICKSORT(A, 1, *n*)



Lomuto's Algorithm: Example (pivot = 4)



Lomuto's Algorithm: Example (pivot = 4)



Example: pivot = 4



Comparison of Hoare's & Lomuto's Algorithms Notation: n = r-p+1 & pivot = A[p] (Hoare) & pivot = A[r] (Lomuto)

> # of element exchanges: e(n)

• Hoare:
$$0 \le e(n) \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$$

- Best: $k = 1$ with $i_1 = j_1 = p$ (i.e., A[$p+1...r$] > pivot)

- Worst: A[
$$p+1...p+\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$$
-1] \ge pivot \ge A[$p+\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$..r]

- Lomuto: $1 \le e(n) \le n$
 - Best: A[p...r -1] > pivot
 - Worst: A[p...r -1] $\leq pivot$

Comparison of Hoare's & Lomuto's Algorithms

> # of element comparisons: $c_e(n)$

- Hoare: $n + 1 \le c_e(n) \le n + 2$
 - Best: $i_k = j_k$
 - Worst: $i_k = j_k + 1$
- Lomuto: $c_e(n) = n 1$
- > # of index comparisons: $c_i(n)$
 - Hoare: $1 \le c_i(n) \le \left|\frac{n}{2}\right| + 1$ $(c_i(n) = e(n) + 1)$

• Lomuto:
$$c_i(n) = n - 1$$

Comparison of Hoare's & Lomuto's Algorithms

> # of index increment/decrement operations: a(n)

- Hoare: $n + 1 \le a(n) \le n + 2$ $(a(n) = c_e(n))$
- Lomuto: $n \le a(n) \le 2n 1$ (a(n) = e(n) + (n 1))
- Hoare's algorithm is in general faster
- Hoare behaves better when pivot is repeated in A[p...r]
 - Hoare: Evenly distributes them between left & right regions
 - Lomuto: Puts all of them to the left region