## CS473-Algorithms I

## Lecture 12

## Amortized Analysis

## Amortized Analysis

Key point: The time required to perform a sequence of data structure operations is averaged over all operations performed

- Amortized analysis can be used to show that
- The average cost of an operation is small
- If one averages over a sequence of operations even though a single operation might be expensive


## Amortized Analysis vs Average Case Analysis

- Amortized analysis does not use any probabilistic reasoning
- Amortized analysis guarantees the average performance of each operation in the worst case


## Amortized Analysis Techniques

The most common three techniques

- The aggregate method
- The accounting method
- The potential method

If there are several types of operations in a sequence

- The aggregate method assigns
- The same amortized cost to each operation
- The accounting method and the potential method may assign
- Different amortized costs to different types of operations


## The Aggregate Method

- Show that sequence of $n$ operations takes
- Worst case time $\mathrm{T}(n)$ in total for all $n$
- The amortized cost (average cost in the worst case) per operation is therefore $\mathrm{T}(n) / n$
- This amortized cost applies to each operation
- Even when there are several types of operations in the sequence


## Example: Stack Operations

$\operatorname{Push}(S, x)$ : pushed object $x$ onto stack
$\operatorname{Pop}(S)$ : pops the top of the stack $S$ and returns the popped object
$\operatorname{Multipop}(S, k)$ : removes the $k$ top objects of the stack $S$ or pops the entire stack if $|S|<k$

- Push and Pop runs in $\Theta(1)$ time
- The total cost of a sequence of $n$ PUSH and Pop operations is therefore $\Theta(n)$
- The running time of $\operatorname{Multipop}(S, k)$ is
$-\Theta(\min (s, k))$ where $s=|S|$


## Stack Operations: Multipop

## $\operatorname{Multipop}(S, k)$

## while not $\operatorname{StackEmpty}(S)$ and $k \neq 0$ do

$$
t \leftarrow \operatorname{Pop}(S)
$$

$$
k \leftarrow k-1
$$

return
Running time:
$\Theta(\min (s, k))$ where $s=|S|$

## The Aggregate Method: Stack Operations

- Let us analyze a sequence of $n$ Pop, Push, and Multipor operations on an initially empty stack
- The worst case of a Multipop operation in the sequence is $\mathbf{O}(n)$, since the stack size is at most $n$
- Hence, a sequence of $n$ operations costs $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$
- we may have $n$ Multipop operations each costing $\mathrm{O}(n)$
- The analysis is correct, however,
- Considering worst-case cost of each operation, it is not tight
- We can obtain a better bound by using aggregate method of amortized analysis


## The Aggregate Method: Stack Operations

- Aggregate method considers the entire sequence of $n$ operations
- Although a single Multipop can be expensive
- Any sequence of $n$ Pop, Push, and Multipop operations on an initially empty stack can cost at most $\mathrm{O}(n)$
Proof: each object can be popped once for each time it is pushed. Hence the number of times that Pop can be called on a nonempty stack including the calls within Multipop is at most the number of PUSH operations, which is at most $n$
$\Rightarrow$ The amortized cost of an operation is the average $\mathrm{O}(n) / n=\mathrm{O}(1)$


## Example: Incrementing a Binary

## Counter

- Implementing a $k$-bit binary counter that counts upward from 0
- Use array $A[0 \ldots k-1]$ of bits as the counter where length $[A]=k$;
$A[0]$ is the least significant bit;
$A[k-1]$ is the most significant bit;

$$
\text { i.e., } x=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A[i] 2^{i}
$$

## Binary Counter: Increment

Initially $x=0$, i.e., $A[\mathrm{i}]=0$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k-1$
To add $1\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)$ to the counter
Essentially same as the one

Increment $(A, k)$
$i \leftarrow 0$
while $i<k$ and $A[i]=1$ do $A[\mathrm{i}] \leftarrow 0$
$i \leftarrow i+1$
if $i<k$ then

$$
A[i] \leftarrow 1
$$

return implemented in hardware by a ripple-carry counter

A single execution of increment takes $\Theta(k)$ in the worst case in which array $A$ contains all 1's

Thus, $n$ increment operations on an initially zero counter takes $\mathrm{O}(k n)$ time in the worst case. シNOT TIGHTミ

## The Aggregate Method: Incrementing a Binary Counter

| Counter value |  Incre <br> [7] [6] [5] [4] [3] [2] [1] [0] cost | Total cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $0 \begin{array}{lllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  |  |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1\end{array}$ | 1 |  |
| 2 | $0 \begin{array}{lllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}>2$ | 3 |  |
| 3 |  | 4 |  |
| 4 | $0 \begin{array}{lllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}$ | 7 |  |
| 5 | 00 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 | 8 | Bits that flip to achieve the next value are shaded |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0\end{array}$ | 10 |  |
| 7 |  | 11 |  |
| 8 | $0 \begin{array}{lllllllll} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4\end{array}$ | 15 |  |
| 9 | $0 \begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1\end{array}$ | 16 |  |
| 10 | 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 | 18 |  |
| 11 | 0 | 19 |  |
| CS473-Lecture 12 | Cevdet Aykanat - Bilkent University <br> Computer Engineering Department |  | 12 |

## The Aggregate Method: Incrementing a Binary Counter

- Note that, the running time of an increment operation is proportional to the number of bits flipped
- However, all bits are not flipped at each Increment
$A[0]$ flips at each increment operation
$A[1]$ flips at alternate increment operations
A[2] flips only once for 4 successive increment operations :
- In general, bit $A[i]$ flips $\left\lfloor n / 2^{i}\right\rfloor$ times in a sequence of $n$ Increments


## The Aggregate Method: Incrementing a Binary Counter

- Therefore, the total number of flips in the sequence is

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\lg n}\left\lfloor n / 2^{i}\right\rfloor<n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 1 / 2^{i}=2 n
$$

- The amortized cost of each operation is

$$
\mathrm{O}(n) / n=\mathrm{O}(1)
$$

## The Accounting Method

We assign different charges to different operations with some operations charged more or less than they actually cost
The amount we charge an operation is called its amortized cost
When the amortized cost of an operation exceeds its actual cost the difference is assigned to specific objects in the data structure as credit

Credit can be used later to help pay for operations whose amortized cost is less than their actual cost

That is, amortized cost of an operation can be considered as being split between its actual cost and credit (either deposited or used)

## The Accounting Method

Key points in the accounting method:

- The total amortized cost of a sequence of operations must be an upper bound on the total actual cost of the sequence
- This relationship must hold for all sequences of operations

Thus, the total credit associated with the data structure must be nonnegative at all times
Since it represents the amount by which the total amortized cost incurred so far exceed the total actual cost incurred so far

## The Accounting Method: Stack Operations

Assign the following amortized costs:
Push: 2
Pop: 0
Multipop: 0

Notes:

- Amortized cost of multipop is a constant (0), whereas the actual cost is variable
- All amortized costs are $\mathrm{O}(1)$, however, in general, amortized costs of different operations may differ asymptotically

Suppose we use $\$ 1$ bill top represent each unit of cost

## The Accounting Method: Stack Operations

We start with an empty stack of plates
When we push a plate on the stack

- we use $\$ 1$ to pay the actual cost of the push operation
- we put a credit of $\$ 1$ on top of the pushed plate

At any time point, every plate on the stack has a $\$ 1$ of credit on it
The $\$ 1$ stored on the plate is a prepayment for the cost of popping it
In order to pop a plate from the stack

- we take $\$ 1$ of credit off the plate
- and use it to pay the actual cost of the pop operation


## The Accounting Method: Stack Operations

Thus by charging the push operation a little bit more don't need to charge anything from the pop \& multipop operations

We have ensured that the amount of credits is always nonnegative

- since each plate on the stack always has $\$ 1$ of credit
- and the stack always has a nonnegative number of plates

Thus, for any sequence of $n$ push, pop, multipop operations the total amortized cost is an upper bound on the total actual cost

## The Accounting Method: Stack Operations

Incrementing a binary counter:
Recall that, the running time of an increment operation is proportional to the number of bits flipped
Charge an amortized cost of $\$ 2$ to set a bit to 1
When a bit is set

- we use $\$ 1$ to pay for the actual setting of the bit and
- we place the other $\$ 1$ on the bit as credit

At any time point, every 1 in the counter has a $\$ 1$ of credit on it
Hence, we don't need to charge anything to reset a bit to 0 ,
we just pay for the reset with the $\$ 1$ on it

## The Accounting Method: Stack Operations

The amortized cost of increment can now be determined the cost of resetting bits within the while loop is paid by the dollars on the bits that are reset
At most one bit is set to 1 , in an increment operation
Therefore, the amortized cost of an increment operation is at most 2 dollars
The number of 1 's in the counter is never negative, thus the amount of credit is always nonnegative
Thus, for $n$ increment operations, the total amortized cost is $\mathrm{O}(n)$, which bounds the actual cost

## The Potential Method

Accounting method represents prepaid work as credit stored with specific objects in the data structure

Potential method represents the prepaid work as potential energy or just potential that can be released to pay for the future operations

The potential is associated with the data structure as a whole rather than with specific objects within the data structure

## The Potential Method

We start with an initial data structure $D_{0}$ on which we perform $n$ operations
For each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, let
$C_{i}$ : the actual cost of the $i$-th operation
$D_{i}$ : data structure that results after applying $i$-th operation to $D_{i-1}$
$\phi$ : potential function that maps each data structure $D_{i}$ to a real number $\phi\left(D_{i}\right)$
$\phi\left(D_{i}\right)$ : the potential associated with data structure $D_{i}$
$\hat{C}_{i}$ : amortized cost of the $i$-th operation w.r.t. function $\phi$

## The Potential Method

$$
\hat{C}_{i}=\underbrace{C_{i}}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { actual } \\
\text { cost }
\end{array}}+\underbrace{\phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { increase in potential } \\
\text { due to the operation }
\end{array}}
$$

The total amortized cost of $n$ operations is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{C}_{i} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(C_{i}+\phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}+\phi\left(D_{n}\right)-\phi\left(D_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Potential Method

If we can ensure that $\phi\left(D_{i}\right) \geq \phi\left(D_{0}\right)$ then the total amortized cost $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{C}_{i}$ is an upper bound on the total actual cost

However, $\phi\left(D_{n}\right) \geq \phi\left(D_{0}\right)$ should hold for all possible $n$ since, in practice, we do not always know $n$ in advance

Hence, if we require that $\phi\left(D_{i}\right) \geq \phi\left(D_{0}\right)$, for all $i$, then we ensure that we pay in advance (as in the accounting method)

## The Potential Method

If $\phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)>0$, then the amortized cost $\hat{C}_{i}$ represents

- an overcharge to the $i$-th operation and
- the potential of the data structure increases

If $\phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)<0$, then the amortized cost $\hat{C}_{i}$ represents

- an undercharge to the $i$-th operation and
- the actual cost of the operation is paid by the decrease in potential
Different potential functions may yield different amortized costs which are still upper bounds for the actual costs

The best potential fn. to use depends on the desired time bounds

## The Potential Method: Stack Operations

- Define $\phi(S)=|S|$, the number of objects in the stack
- For the initial empty stack, we have $\phi\left(D_{0}\right)=0$
- Since $|S| \geq 0$, stack $D_{i}$ that results after $i$ th operation has nonnegative potential for all $i$, that is

$$
\phi\left(D_{i}\right) \geq 0=\phi\left(D_{0}\right) \text { for all } \sum_{i} C_{i}
$$

- total amortized cost is an upper boundion total actual cost
- Let us compute the amortized costs of stack operations where $i$ th operation is performed on a stack with $s$ objects


## The Potential Method: Stack Operations

$\operatorname{Push}(S): \phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=(s+1)-(s)=1$

$$
\hat{C}_{i}=C_{i}+\phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=1+1=2
$$

$\operatorname{Multipop}(S, k): \phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=-k^{\prime}=-\min \{s, k\}$

$$
\hat{C}_{i}=C_{i}+\phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=k^{\prime}-k^{\prime}=0
$$

$\operatorname{PoP}(S): \hat{C}_{i}=0$, similarly

- The amortized cost of each operation is $\mathrm{O}(1)$, and thus the total amortized cost of a sequence of $n$ operations is $\mathrm{O}(n)$


## The Potential Method:

## Incrementing a Binary Counter

- Define $\phi\left(D_{i}\right)=b_{i}$, number of 1 s in the counter after the $i$ th operation
- Compute the amortized cost of an InCREMENT operation wrt $\phi$
- Suppose that $i$ th Increment resets $t_{i}$ bits then,

$$
t_{i} \leq C_{i} \leq t_{i}+1
$$

- The number of 1 s in the counter after the $i$ th operation is

$$
b_{i-1}-t_{i} \leq b_{i} \leq b_{i-1}-t_{i}+1 \Rightarrow b_{i}-b_{i-1} \leq 1-t_{i}
$$

- The amortized cost is therefore

$$
\hat{C}_{i}=C_{i}+\phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\phi\left(D_{i-1}\right) \leq\left(t_{i}+1\right)+\left(1-t_{i}\right)=2
$$

## The Potential Method:

## Incrementing a Binary Counter

- If the counter starts at zero, then $\phi\left(D_{0}\right)=0$, the number of 1 s in the counter after the $i$ th operation
- Since $\phi\left(D_{i}\right) \geq 0$ for all $i$ the total amortized cost is an upper bound on the total actual cost
- Hence, the worst-case cost of $n$ operations is $\mathrm{O}(n)$


## The Potential Method:

## Incrementing a Binary Counter

- Assume that the counter does not start at zero, i.,e., $b_{0} \neq 0$
- Then, after $n$ INCREMENT operations the number of 1 s is $b_{n}$, where $0 \leq b_{0}, b_{n} \leq k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} & =\sum_{l=1}^{n} \hat{C}_{i}-\phi\left(D_{n}\right)+\phi\left(D_{0}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2-b_{n}+b_{0} \\
& \leq 2 n-b_{n}+b_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $b_{0} \leq k$, if we execute at least $n=\Omega(k)$ INCREMENT operations the total actual cost is $\mathrm{O}(n)$
- No matter what initial value the counter contains

