

## Final Exam

December 23, 2005

**Question 1.** (60 pts.) Answer briefly each of the following questions:

- a. What is Kerckhoffs' principle? Why is that principle important?
- b. For a hash function, does collision-resistance imply one-wayness? Explain briefly.
- c. Describe how the RSA signature function  $S(x) = x^d \bmod N$  can be shared among  $n$  parties, such that when they all come together they can compute the signature of a message without revealing their shares, but  $n - 1$  or fewer cannot sign a message or obtain any information on the private key.
- d. Establishing a secure channel between two previously unacquainted parties over an insecure network requires support from a trusted third party, either a KDC or a CA. What are the relative advantages of each approach?
- e. What is the purpose of the salt in a password-based authentication systems? Describe how it is used when a user logs into the system from a terminal.
- f. What is the "single sign-on" property? How does Kerberos provide it?
- g. Consider using RSA-EKE in a mobile computing environment where the client is typically a restricted device such as a palmtop computer. What is the major change you would do on the protocol discussed in class? Explain your reasoning.
- h. Describe the processing of an outgoing IP packet on a machine running IPsec.
  - i. What is the main complication for Bellovin's cut-and-paste attack to read encrypted ESP data that would be brought by the use of IPv6? How can that be circumvented?
  - j. Would the solution to part (i) suffice for an attacker to use TCP as the transport protocol in the attack? Explain briefly.
- k. Does the SSL session establishment protocol (i.e., the main handshake protocol of SSL) have the feature of "perfect forward secrecy"? Why/why not?
- l. What was the most significant handicap of PEM for a practical deployment? How does PGP handle this issue?

*Turn the page*

**Question 2.** (20 pts.) Consider the following protocol where  $W$  denotes a weak symmetric encryption key derived from a password,  $E$  is a strong public key generated by the client's terminal, and  $R$  is a random challenge.



- Assume that the public key encryption scheme used is deterministic. How can the password be broken by an eavesdropper?
- Let the public key encryption scheme be randomized. Describe how the password can be broken by an active attack.
- Consider sending  $W\{E\}$  in the first message instead of  $E$ . Does this preclude the attack in part (b)? Explain.
- Consider the variant discussed in part (c). Suppose the terminal uses a fixed public key  $E$  instead of generating a fresh one for each session. What would a weakness of the protocol be?

**Question 3.** (20 pts.) A protocol to establish a fresh session key using long-term, certified Diffie-Hellman public keys is the protocol of Yacobi and Shmueli. The protocol, in a slightly modified form, is as follows:

- The system has a common prime modulus  $p$  and a generator  $g$ . Each party  $i$  has a long-term private key  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$  and a public key  $P_i = g^{\alpha_i} \bmod p$ .
- To establish a session key between  $i$  and  $j$ , party  $i$  generates a random  $R_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ , computes  $X_i = \alpha_i + R_i \bmod p - 1$ , and sends  $X_i$  to  $j$ . Similarly,  $j$  computes a random  $R_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ ,  $X_j = \alpha_j + R_j \bmod p - 1$ , and sends  $X_j$  to  $i$ .
- $i$  computes the session key as

$$K_{i,j} = (g^{X_j} P_j^{-1})^{R_i} \bmod p$$

and  $j$  computes

$$K_{j,i} = (g^{X_i} P_i^{-1})^{R_j} \bmod p.$$

- Show that the protocol is correct (i.e.,  $K_{i,j} = K_{j,i}$ ).
- Discuss the security of this protocol. (E.g., can an attacker break a private key or a session key, or can actively impersonate a party, etc.)
- Show that the Yacobi-Shmueli protocol does not have security in the face of broken session keys. (Hint: Show that an attacker who has broken a session key  $K_{i,j}$  is able to impersonate any of the two parties to the other.)

Good luck