

Final Exam

January 6, 2007

Question 1. (60 pts.) Answer briefly each of the following questions:

- a. Establishing a secure channel between two previously unacquainted parties over an insecure network requires support from a trusted third party, either a KDC or a CA. What are the relative advantages of each approach?
- b. What is the “guessable plaintext” problem in public key encryption? Does it also apply to ElGamal encryption? Why/why not?
- c. As MACs can be produced from hash functions, consider producing a hash function from CBC-MAC, where the CBC checksum of a message is computed using a fixed key and IV. Would this hash function be secure? Why/why not?
- d. Consider “randomized hashing” where a signer to sign a message m first generates a sufficiently long (say 128-bit) random r , computes $H(r||m)$, and signs it along with r . Would collision resistance be a requirement for H in this case? Why/why not?
- e. What is key revocation? Is ID-based or traditional certificate-based key management more suitable with regard to key revocation? Why?
- f. What is the purpose of the salt in an *ordinary* password-based authentication system? Describe how it is used when a user logs into the system from a terminal.
- g. What is the “single sign-on” property? How does Kerberos provide it?
- h. In a user authentication system, why is it preferable to use the password as an encryption key in a challenge-response fashion rather than sending a cleartext hash of it? What is the limitation of this approach, which EKE-type strong password protocols aim to solve?
- i. What is a virtual private network (VPN)? How can IPsec help establishing a VPN? Which mode of IPsec operation would be used for this kind of application?
- j. Describe briefly Bellovin’s connection hijacking attack on IPsec encryption without authentication. Why is the TCP sequence number a source of complication in this attack? How can it be tackled?
- k. What are some of the major problems in IKE which IKEv2 aims to solve? Name three of them and describe how IKEv2 deals with each.
- l. What are the relative advantages and disadvantages of S/MIME and PGP? What are the environments that would favor each?

Turn the page

Question 2. (20 pts.) Explain the following points regarding Tuomas Aura's talk on Mobile IPv6 security:

- a. What is the problem with the binding updates when no authentication is present?
- b. What is the approach of Aura et al. to this problem?
- c. What is the basic solution they propose?
- d. What is the problem with this solution when satellite links are common?
- e. What would be a simple solution to prevent such passive attacks? (without any major changes to the basic setting such as adding a PKI)

Question 3. (20 pts.) A DH-based key exchange protocol for wireless mobile networks was a proposal by Park: The system has a common prime modulus p and a generator g . Each party i has a long-term private key $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ and a public key $P_i = g^{\alpha_i} \bmod p$. To establish a session key between a mobile subscriber M and a base station B , the following protocol is executed (with all arithmetic in \mathbb{Z}_p):

$$\begin{aligned} B \rightarrow M & : g^{\alpha_B + R_B} \\ M \rightarrow B & : \alpha_M + R_M \end{aligned}$$

where R_B and R_M are one-time random secrets. B calculates the session key as $K_{MB} = (g^{\alpha_M + R_M} P_M^{-1})^{R_B}$ and M calculates it as $K_{MB} = (g^{\alpha_B + R_B} P_B^{-1})^{R_M}$. Then they complete the authentication with a challenge-response by K_{MB} .

Park's protocol was based on an earlier protocol by Yacobi and Shmueli, where both parties send $\alpha_i + R_i$; however Park made the station send $g^{\alpha_B + R_B}$ to reduce the load on the mobile side.

- a. Show that Park's protocol is correct in the sense that B and M calculate the same K_{MB} value.
- b. Show that an attacker who has compromised a session key from a previous run, for which she has recorded the messages, can impersonate B . (Hint: Let the attacker replay B 's message from the previous session.)
- c. In fact this protocol can be broken without having any previous session keys compromised: Show how the attacker can impersonate B by just knowing his public key.

Good luck