## Midterm Exam

November 9, 2007

Question 1. (40 pts.) Answer briefly each of the following questions:

- a. Why may a slow key schedule be desirable for a cipher? (e.g. Blowfish) Explain briefly.
- b. In which of the ECB, CBC, OFB, CFB, and CTR modes of operation, is *encryption* parallelizable?
- c. Is a fixed or a random IV preferable in a CBC-MAC computation? Why?
- d. What is the role of the "compression function" in the structure of a hash function? (I.e., describe the operation of a hash function according to the compression function.)
- e. As MACs can be produced from hash functions, consider producing a hash function from CBC-MAC, where the CBC checksum of a message is computed using a fixed key and IV. Would this hash function be secure? Why/why not?
- f. Is a fixed or random padding used in the PKCS for RSA signature? Why?
- g. Can the ElGamal encryption system be used as a signature algorithm in a straightforward manner—using the private key operation for signing and the public key operation for verification? Why/why not?
- h. A dishonest dealer might distribute "bad" shares for a Shamir threshold scheme, i.e., shares for which different *t*-subsets determine different keys. Given all *n* shares, we could test the consistency of the shares by computing the key for every one of the  $\binom{n}{t}$  *t*-subsets of participants, and verifying that the same key is computed in each case. Describe a more efficient method for testing the consistency of the shares.

Turn the page

**Question 2.** (20 pts.) Consider the following mode of encryption with three keys k, k1, k2, where k is of the length of the block size and k1 and k2 are of the length of the key size (denoted by  $\ell$ ) of the block cipher E. (E.g., for DES, k would be 64 bits, and k1 and k2 would be 56 bits each.)



- a. Describe the decryption operation for this mode of encryption.
- b. Describe a known-plaintext attack with a relatively small number of input blocks (e.g., with 20 or 30 blocks) where the attacker can discover the full key (k, k1, k2) with approximately  $2 \cdot 2^{\ell}$  runs of the encryption/decryption algorithm. (You can assume as much memory as you need for the attack.)
- c. Comment on the security of this mode of encryption as a potential way of strengthening DES with an increased key size.

**Question 3.** (20 pts.) Consider a variant of the ElGamal signature scheme where  $p, g, \alpha, \beta, k, r$  are as in the original scheme as described in class and

$$s = (r\alpha + k)m^{-1} \bmod (p-1)$$

where you can assume that m is always relatively prime to p-1.

- a) What would the signature verification formula be for the modified scheme? (Put your answer in a frame.)
- b) Show that this ElGamal variant is insecure. (Hint: Show that attacker Eve who has observed the signature of a message m can obtain the signature of any message she likes.)

**Question 4.** (20 pts.) Alice computes her RSA signatures in an optimized way by first computing  $y_p = x^d \mod p$ ,  $y_q = x^d \mod q$ , and then obtaining  $y = x^d \mod n$  by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. During the signature of a message, while  $y_p$  was being computed, a glitch at Alice's computer caused it to produce a wrong value  $\tilde{y_p}$  different from  $y_p$ . Then the computation of  $y_q$  proceeded without any errors. At the end, a wrong signature  $\tilde{y}$  was obtained from  $\tilde{y_p}$  and  $y_q$ .

- a) Show that any person who observes the message x with the wrong signature  $\tilde{y}$  can factor Alice's modulus n. (Hint: Use the fact that  $\tilde{y}^e \equiv x \pmod{q}$ .)
- b) Suggest some method by which Alice can defend against this danger.

Good luck!