

## Midterm Exam

November 9, 2010

**Question 1.** (*40 pts.*) Answer briefly each of the following questions:

- a. What is the major limitation of traditional substitution ciphers? How do the modern block ciphers address it?
- b. Consider using the CBC decryption operation for encryption. Why wouldn't this be a secure mode of encryption?
- c. The decryption speed of a block cipher may not be as important as the encryption speed, it is argued in the Rijndael design document. Why not? Explain briefly.
- d. What is the risk of using the same  $k$  value multiple times in ElGamal encryption? Discuss briefly.
- e. What is the “predictable plaintext” problem in public key encryption? Does it also apply to ElGamal encryption? Why/why not?
- f. Suppose all members in a group use 5 as their RSA encryption exponent. What is the risk of sending the same message to multiple members in such a system? How does PKCS (v1) solve this problem?
- g. What is the basic motivation of ID-based encryption? Describe the key management structure needed to realize such a system.
- h. What is function sharing? What is its advantage over simple secret sharing?

*Turn the page*

**Question 2.** (20 pts.) Let  $\bar{x}$  denote the bitwise complement of a binary string  $x$ . Show that

$$DES_{\bar{K}}(\bar{P}) = \bar{C}$$

where  $C = DES_K(P)$ . Make sure that you mention the significance of relevant DES features as necessary.

**Question 3.** (20 pts.) Consider the following MAC scheme with a 128-bit block cipher, similar to the CTR mode of encryption:

- Append a 1 bit to the message, and then enough 0 bits to make the total length of the message a multiple of 128 bits.
- Break the message into 128-bit blocks;  $M_1, M_2, \dots$
- Encrypt independently each block  $M_i$  as  $C_i = E_K(M_i) \oplus E_K(i)$ .
- Take the low-order two bytes of each ciphertext block  $C_i$ . Concatenate them, yielding the final MAC.

(Note that this is a variable-length MAC.)

- a. Why is the padding done the way it is rather than just padding the last block with 0 bits?
- b. Show that this MAC is not secure by a simple truncation attack. (I.e.: The attacker observes a message  $M$  and its MAC  $MAC_k(M)$ . Then he obtains the MAC of another message  $M'$ , which is a truncation of  $M$ .)
- c. Describe another attack on this MAC scheme where the attacker computes the MAC of a message without having the MAC of any other messages beforehand.

**Question 4.** (20 pts.) In an RSA-based secure communications system, it may be desirable to simplify the key generation and management process by using a system-wide common modulus  $N$ , generated by a trusted key generation authority, and user-specific key pairs  $(e, d)$ . Show that such a common-modulus system is totally insecure against insider attacks by proving the following steps:

- a. Eve, possessing a key pair  $(e_E, d_E)$ , can easily compute a multiple of  $\varphi(N)$ , say  $k \cdot \varphi(N)$ .
- b. If Alice's public key  $e_A$  is relatively prime to  $k$ , Eve can find a decryption exponent for Alice and read all her messages.
- c. Eve can compute a decryption exponent for any user in the system, whether or not  $\gcd(e, k) = 1$ .

*Good luck!*