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## What is an Algorithm?

## Procedure that always halts with a correct solution to the problem at hand

## Why study Algorithms?

$\square$ Analyze performance to determine "feasible vs. not"
$\square$ Algorithmic mathematics (e.g. big-O notation) allows comparing performance of two algorithms for the same problem

- Build a repertoire of algorithms for future use
- Learn various algorithm design paradigms and apply to new problems


## Kinds of analyses

$\square$ Worst case (usually):
$\square \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})=$ maximum time it takes for an algorithm for any input of size $n$
$\square$ Average case (sometimes):
$\square T(n)=$ expected time of algorithm over all inputs of size n
$\square$ Need to know statistical distribution of inputs
$\square$ Harder

- Best case (rarely):
- Can always cheat with a slow algorithm that works fast on some input


## Asymptotic notation

$\square$ Use for running time or memory requirement analysis
$\square$ Ignore machine-dependent constants, look at growth in $T(n)$ as $n$ goes to infinity
$\square$ When input size gets large enough, a quadratic algorithm always beats a cubic one


## O-notation

Formally
$O(g(n))=\left\{f(n):\right.$ there exist positive constants $c$ and $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\left.0 \leq f(n) \leq c g(n) \text { for all } n \geq n_{0}\right\}
$$

- Informally
$\square$ drop low order terms, ignore leading constants to form an upper bound

$$
3 n^{3}+90 n^{2}-5 n+6046=O\left(n^{3}\right)
$$

## $\Omega$-notation

- Formally
$\Omega(g(n))=\left\{f(n):\right.$ there exist positive constants $c$ and $n_{0}$ such that $0 \leq c g(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $\left.n \geq n_{0}\right\}$.
- Informally
$\square$ drop low order terms, ignore leading constants to form a lower bound

$$
3 n^{3}+90 n^{2}-5 n+6046=\Omega\left(n^{3}\right)
$$

## 0 - and $\omega$-notation

## Strict versions of $O$ and $\Omega$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \mathrm{n}^{3}+90 \mathrm{n}^{2}-5 \mathrm{n}+6046=O\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right) \\
& 3 \mathrm{n}^{3}+90 \mathrm{n}^{2}-5 \mathrm{n}+6046 \neq o\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right) \\
& 3 \mathrm{n}^{3}+90 \mathrm{n}^{2}-5 \mathrm{n}+6046=o\left(\mathrm{n}^{3.01}\right) \\
& 3 \mathrm{n}^{3}+90 \mathrm{n}^{2}-5 \mathrm{n}+6046=\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right) \\
& 3 \mathrm{n}^{3}+90 \mathrm{n}^{2}-5 \mathrm{n}+6046 \neq \omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right) \\
& 3 \mathrm{n}^{3}+90 \mathrm{n}^{2}-5 \mathrm{n}+6046=\omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{2.99}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\Theta$-notation

Formally
$\Theta(g(n))=\left\{f(n):\right.$ there exist positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $n_{0}$ such that $0 \leq c_{1} g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_{2} g(n)$ for all $\left.n \geq n_{0}\right\}$.

- Informally
$\square$ drop low order terms, ignore leading constants to form a tight (both lower and upper) bound

$$
3 n^{3}+90 n^{2}-5 n+6046=\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)
$$

# Algorithm design paradigms 

Divide-and-conquer
Dynamic programming
Greedy
Branch-and-bound

## Methods for running time complexity

- Master Method
$\square$ Applies to limited types of algorithms
Substitution Method
$\square$ Difficult to make the guess that works
$\square$ Might not work (lead to induction that works)
$\square$ Recursive Tree Method
$\square$ Difficult to get tight complexity


## Example: Fibonacci numbers

Calculate $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ Fibonnaci number
$\square F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1, F_{i}=F_{i-1}+F_{i-2}$ for $i \geq 2$
Divide-and-conquer solution
$\square$ Running time?
$\square$ How to improve?

